

Evidence and Recommendations on the Use of Telemedicine for the Management of Arterial Hypertension

An International Expert Position Paper

Stefano Omboni¹, Richard J. McManus, Hayden B. Bosworth, Lucy C. Chappell², Beverly B. Green, Kazuomi Kario³, Alexander G. Logan, David J. Magid, Brian Mckinstry, Karen L. Margolis, Gianfranco Parati⁴, Bonnie J. Wakefield

Abstract—Telemedicine allows the remote exchange of medical data between patients and healthcare professionals. It is used to increase patients' access to care and provide effective healthcare services at a distance. During the recent coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic, telemedicine has thrived and emerged worldwide as an indispensable resource to improve the management of isolated patients due to lockdown or shielding, including those with hypertension. The best proposed healthcare model for telemedicine in hypertension management should include remote monitoring and transmission of vital signs (notably blood pressure) and medication adherence plus education on lifestyle and risk factors, with video consultation as an option. The use of mixed automated feedback services with supervision of a multidisciplinary clinical team (physician, nurse, or pharmacist) is the ideal approach. The indications include screening for suspected hypertension, management of older adults, medically underserved people, high-risk hypertensive patients, patients with multiple diseases, and those isolated due to pandemics or national emergencies.

Key Words: health personnel ■ hypertension ■ pandemics ■ telemedicine ■ telehealth



Telemedicine (or telehealth) represents a revolutionary patient management approach combining various forms of information communication technology (ICT) to remotely deliver care, consultation, medical education, specific healthcare and clinical services, and for monitoring patients' parameters at distance.¹ It is a promising tool for improving access to care, empowering patients, influencing their attitudes and behaviors, and ultimately enhancing their medical conditions.

Telemedicine is expected to be increasingly utilized in the near future for acute and chronic disease management due to the aging population with increased life expectancy and better survival from cardiovascular events leading to greater population health burden. The role of telemedicine has become increasingly clear in light of the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic. Bringing hypertensive patients into clinics for routine BP checks during a pandemic represents a serious risk, especially for older adults or those with underlying medical conditions. Social distancing and reduced

mobility required to control the COVID-19 pandemic have highlighted and intensified the pressing need for telemedicine as the only way to manage a number of chronic conditions, including hypertension.^{2,3} Furthermore, particularly in rural areas, practical issues in traveling to healthcare facilities make telemedicine attractive. COVID-19, combined with ongoing shortages of physicians and nurses, may affect access to appropriate care for an aging population with multiple morbidities and impaired mobility.

Hypertension is a good target for telemedicine, and in particular, for telemonitoring, as it is the most common and important risk factor for cardiovascular disease worldwide.⁴ However, while telemedicine has been shown to improve blood pressure (BP) control as compared with standard care, its place in daily clinical practice is not yet clear.⁵ While most guidelines refer to it in the context of excluding white coat or masked hypertension, there are no current specific recommendations on the place of telemedicine in general

From the Clinical Research Unit, Italian Institute of Telemedicine, Varese (S.O.); Scientific Research Department of Cardiology, Science and Technology Park for Biomedicine, Sechenov First Moscow State Medical University, Russian Federation (S.O.); Nuffield Department of Primary Care Health Sciences, University of Oxford, United Kingdom (R.J.M.); Center of Innovation to Accelerate Discovery and Practice Transformation, Durham Veterans Affairs Medical Center, NC (H.B.B.); Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences (H.B.B.), Division of General Internal Medicine (H.B.B.), and Department of Population Health Sciences (H.B.B.), Duke University, Durham, NC; Women's Health Academic Centre, King's College London, United Kingdom (L.C.C.); Kaiser Permanente Washington Health Research Institute, Seattle (B.B.G.); Department of Cardiology, Jichi Medical University School of Medicine, Tochigi, Japan (K.K.); Department of Medicine, Mount Sinai Hospital, University Health Network and University of Toronto, ON, Canada (A.G.L.); Colorado Permanente Medical Group, Denver and School of Public Health, University of Colorado, Aurora (D.J.M.); Emeritus Professor of Primary Care eHealth, Usher Institute, The University of Edinburgh, United Kingdom (B.M.); HealthPartners Institute, Minneapolis, MN (K.L.M.); Department of Medicine and Surgery, University of Milano-Bicocca, Italy (G.P.); Istituto Auxologico Italiano, IRCCS San Luca, Milano, Italy (G.P.); and Sinclair School of Nursing, University of Missouri, Columbia (B.J.W.).

The Data Supplement is available with this article at <https://www.ahajournals.org/doi/suppl/10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.120.15873>.

Correspondence to Stefano Omboni, Clinical Research Unit, Italian Institute of Telemedicine, Via Colombara 29, 21048 Solbiate Arno (VA), Italy. Email stefano.omboni@iitelemed.org

(Hypertension. 2020;76:00-00. DOI: 10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.120.15873.)

© 2020 American Heart Association, Inc.

Hypertension is available at <https://www.ahajournals.org/journal/hyp>

DOI: 10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.120.15873

hypertension management, with the partial exception of the 2017 Hypertension Clinical Practice Guidelines, which suggest that telehealth strategies can be useful adjuncts to interventions shown to reduce BP for adults with hypertension.⁶

Scope of the Position Paper

This position paper aims to critically review the available evidence on the clinical usefulness and application and the current barriers and challenges for telemedicine in hypertension management. The different technological and clinical aspects of telemedicine will be presented and discussed. The focus will be on telemonitoring—the telemedicine solution proven to be the most effective for hypertension management.⁷ The potential of telemedicine for maintaining continuity of care for hypertensive patients in the time of infectious disease outbreaks, such as COVID-19, or more in general in national emergencies or disasters, will also be discussed. The role of telemedicine in the context of team-based hypertensive patient management programs will also be addressed, with indications for further progress in the field.

The document has been conceived and developed by a pool of international experts in the field and contains practical recommendations for the use of telemedicine tools in hypertension management.

The main concepts and recommendations are summarized in accompanying tables.

Definitions and Classification

In this section, the current definition of telemedicine and related terms in the context of e-Health is provided.

e-Health embraces a wide range of multidirectional activities that make use of ICT to store, retrieve, share, exchange, and automate responses to health-related information for prevention, diagnosis, and treatment and for monitoring, educational, and administrative purposes.⁸

Telemedicine (or telehealth) allows the automatic exchange of medical information (eg, health parameters, biological signals, diagnostic images), between individuals and their

healthcare providers, to provide disease management at a distance.^{1,9} A specific application of telemedicine is telemonitoring, which comprises remote monitoring of various vital and nonvital parameters and management of people usually with long-term conditions. Telemedicine is often undertaken using mobile phones, known as m-Health. A set of common definitions and examples for e-Health and the different telemedicine services is provided in Table S1 in the [Data Supplement](#).

Currently, the most common way to deliver telemedicine services is by the Internet, often using mobile devices and using a closed-loop healthcare model also called the Internet of Medical Things, which is schematically outlined in the Figure and further discussed in the next sections of the article.⁵

Solutions

Telemedicine solutions involve several different tools aimed at the creation of a connected infrastructure of health systems and services between the patient and the caregiver or among different healthcare professionals. Typical services provided through telemedicine are summarized in Table S2, many of which intersect to allow comprehensive patient management.

Devices

Telemedicine requires devices for collecting and exchanging information between remote sites. These devices can include a camera for video exchange, a microphone, and speakers for exchanging audio information and sensors for collecting specific vital or nonvital parameters. A list of potential devices is reported in Table S3.

Communication and Transmission

Usually, telemedicine services are provided using specific interfaces such as desktop or laptop computers, mobile phones (smartphones), personal digital assistants, or virtual assistants (eg, Amazon Echo Dot or Google Home Mini) or tablet devices, which allow the collection and remote transmission of the information. Data gathering may occur through embedded tools or external sensors, such as cameras, microphones,




Figure. Basic telehealth services and their workflow. EHR indicates electronic health record; IoMT, internet of medical things; Mic, microphone; NFC, near-field communication; and PDA, personal digital assistant. Reprinted from Omboni⁵ with permission. Copyright ©.

or medical devices, and utilizing wired or wireless technologies (including Bluetooth, Wi-Fi, near-field communication, ZigBee, etc). Telemedicine services commonly use websites or web portals to create interaction between users. However, a basic form of telemedicine is represented by telephone communication,¹⁰ with the caveat that management of hypertension and major chronic conditions in the absence of any physiological monitoring (ie, based on symptomatology alone) is challenging. Text messaging (SMS) or emails represent other simple and popular ways of communication, while smartphone apps are becoming the norm.

Commonly, the interaction between patients and caregivers may be immediate (synchronous) or periodic (asynchronous). Typical examples of the first type of interaction are those occurring during live audio-video conferencing between a healthcare professional and the patient or during real-time transmission of vital signs from the patient's home to an emergency care unit. Examples of asynchronous interactions are represented by remote interpretation or telereporting of previously transmitted data, email messaging or SMS, smartphone apps, or online programs for education. This asynchronous approach can be particularly helpful in managing large numbers of patients as messaging confirming normality, where appropriate, can be done quickly on a large scale, leaving direct synchronous communication largely where parameters are not normal and management needs to be adjusted.

Some practical examples of telemonitoring tools for hypertension management are summarized in Table S4.

Settings and Populations

Settings

Current settings where telemedicine services for hypertensive patients are deployed and their characteristics are summarized in Table 1. The different settings are interconnected according to a modern patient-centered model aiming at providing personalized or precision medicine. In this regard, telemedicine can foster a multidisciplinary and team-based approach to hypertension management provided that the different services are not siloed either technologically or professionally.

Target Populations

Telemedicine services may be targeted to 2 main types of populations.

Individuals Who Require Screening for Possible High Blood Pressure

The first and larger population includes all those individuals requiring screening for possible hypertension due to a potential risk based on genetic, environmental, or behavioral factors. This group would benefit from telemedicine in a general sense, including BP monitoring, along with screening for other risk factors (eg, hypercholesterolemia, aortic aneurysm, bowel cancer screening).

Treated Patients

The second group of patients includes those taking antihypertensive drug treatment, needing surveillance and follow-up. Two categories of patients may be identified. One includes patients at high risk of cardiovascular complications,

Table 1. Service Settings

General community setting
Interactive kiosks located in waiting rooms, supermarkets, etc (unattended)
Health-related community setting and primary care
General practitioners and practice nurses
Community pharmacists
Homecare services
AHP services, interfaces between primary and secondary care services
Secondary care
Outpatient clinics (specialists)
Hospital emergency departments, intensive care units, medical imaging services, maternity services
Tertiary care
General Hospitals
Hypertension centers

AHP indicates allied health professional.

experiencing comorbidities, resistant to antihypertensive treatment, or showing low adherence to the therapeutic plan. This category of patients needs more intensive telemonitoring and tighter surveillance. The second category of patients includes those at lower risk or less severe, with controlled BP, who may experience BP rises over time or more acute periods of uncontrolled BP and may thus benefit from intermittent telemonitoring.

Implementation of Telemedicine Services Worldwide

Exact estimates of the implementation of telemedicine services among hypertensive patients worldwide are lacking. However, recent statistics suggest that around the world 7 million patients are managed by telemedicine, with the most popular applications targeting patients with heart failure, hypertension, and diabetes mellitus.⁵ Europe and North America are traditional markets for telemedicine, but emergent markets, particularly following COVID-19, include Asia (particularly China) and Oceania. Although televisit and teleconsultation are the most popular telemedicine solutions, home-based telemonitoring relying on m-Health apps is the fastest growing application of telemedicine, and it is expected to help ongoing implementation of telemedicine, particularly in the context of a global pandemic.⁵

Scientific Evidence From Studies

Feasibility and Acceptability of Telemonitoring

In general, telemedicine in hypertension is feasible on a wide scale and well-received with excellent acceptability. In 13 studies (5 performed in hospitals, 5 in general practices, and 3 in the community) including 1662 patients, the average adherence to telemedicine-based hypertension management programs was reasonably high: 76.8% of patients complied with the telemonitoring schedule (range, 48%–90%).¹¹ In 10 studies (3 performed in hospitals, 5 in general practices, and 2 in

the community) enrolling 1120 patients, 87.1% of the participants regarded the telemedicine solution as useful to manage their condition (range, 69%–100%).¹¹

The recent coronavirus outbreak (COVID-19 pandemic) has shown what happens where patients are unable to visit their physicians at healthcare facilities due to fear of infection. Similar issues are relevant for people who find attendance at their healthcare provider difficult due to distance, disability, lack of transportation, or other commitments. In all of these situations, a telemedicine solution provides option for adequate care, and in most cases of hypertension, care requires telemonitoring of BP.

Overall Proof of Efficacy

In this section, we present an umbrella review of the available systematic reviews and meta-analyses based on randomized controlled studies making use of telemedicine for the management of hypertension.^{12–26} Given the evolution of ICT in recent years and the improvement in healthcare models based on telemedicine, we have focused on reviews published in the last decade, which reflect the current solutions available to healthcare professionals and patients.

The most successful telemedicine approach appears to be the one based on telemonitoring of BP and tracking of additional vital and nonvital signs with data exchange between patients and a case manager (usually a nurse or a pharmacist under the supervision of a clinician) through the web, emails, text messaging, or video consultation, integrated with education on lifestyle, risk factors, and proper use of antihypertensive medications. The greatest effect observed is when delivery of the intervention is proactive and not passive and when the intervention is driven by healthcare professionals, rather than patient-driven. Following the diffusion of mobile apps, more direct involvement of the patient through self-management, perhaps under the supervision of a multidisciplinary clinical team (usually including ≥1 healthcare professionals supported by a trained nonhealthcare professional with technical skills), seems to be promising. More patient involvement would allow increasing numbers of patients to be served by a single medical facility.

Table 2 summarizes the quality level, the methodology, and the main results of systematic reviews and meta-analyses, suggesting that randomized controlled studies published to date have shown greater BP reductions and a larger proportion of patients achieving BP control when a telemedicine approach is utilized compared with usual care. Some trials have compared BP telemonitoring with BP self-monitoring alone, suggesting that the telemedicine approach has an additional benefit in terms of BP control beyond self BP measurement.

As already discussed, the sustainability and long-term clinical effectiveness of these interventions remain unclear, with few studies looking at longer term benefits beyond 12 months. Some evidence suggests ongoing effects even when interventions are stopped, but the optimal schedules for long-term care once control is achieved are not established.^{27,28} Understanding the ideal schedules and doses of the intervention (eg, BP monitoring every month, or 3 or 6 months) for long-term care aspect is important to elucidate because arterial hypertension is a chronic and life-enduring condition.

The studies performed so far, however, were based on heterogeneous interventions, technologies, and study designs, which makes it difficult to identify the right solution for a given patient. The only strong evidence available from many studies is that solutions that are based on complex interventions, including not only telemonitoring but also multidisciplinary case management, education, and feedback to the patient, are the most effective.⁵ Future studies should be more focused on this multifaceted multimodal approach and test such solutions in specific groups of hypertensive patients, such as those with resistant hypertension, those at high risk of developing cardiovascular diseases, or those with multiple comorbidities. In these patients, the cost-effectiveness of telemedicine may be higher, and the proof of effectiveness might favor the development of reimbursement models.

In some of the studies included in the meta-analyses, additional effects of the telemedicine intervention were observed and are summarized in Table 3. However, the strength of these effects is less consistent than BP reduction.

Effectiveness in Specific Hypertension Subgroups

There are currently few studies and meta-analyses that have focused on evaluating the benefit of telehealth interventions compared with usual care in specific subgroups of hypertensive patients.

This evidence is discussed in the following paragraphs, and general recommendations are provided in Table 4.

Diabetes Mellitus

Although several studies have tested the effectiveness of telemedicine in diabetic patients, with conflicting results,²⁹ few studies have evaluated its impact in the presence of hypertension plus diabetes mellitus. In 4 randomized controlled studies performed among 734 hypertensive patients with comorbid diabetes mellitus, the intervention group based on telemonitoring plus nurse or clinician management resulted in greater reductions in BP, HbA1c, LDL cholesterol, and a greater proportion of participants achieving their BP goal after 6 to 12 months compared with usual care.^{30–34} Medication adherence was increased in one study and unchanged in another. In one study, patients achieving a systolic blood pressure of <120 mm Hg had lower average blood sugars than those with higher values. Thus, in patients with diabetes mellitus and hypertension, telemedicine has the potential for delivering intensified care to improve BP control.

Ethnicity Subgroups

The effectiveness of telemedicine has also been verified in specific high-risk ethnic subgroups. The majority of the studies focused on Black Americans living in medically underserved areas. Four well-conducted randomized prospective controlled studies evaluated the impact of a 3- to 12-month nurse-managed telemedicine intervention among 1533 Blacks.^{35–37} As compared with usual care, the intervention was associated with larger BP reductions and enhanced BP control, particularly in participants with higher hypertension stages. In another randomized controlled trial including 110 Blacks with uncontrolled hypertension and lasting only 1 month, the authors found no difference in medication adherence and BP control between the usual care group and the

intervention group receiving fully automated text messaging support.³⁸ Although the evidence of improved hypertension management with telemedicine is largely limited to Blacks,³⁹ there is one small randomized controlled study performed in 80 Koreans with concomitant obesity and hypertension, which showed improved BP, body weight, waist circumference, and HDL cholesterol after 2 weeks of a web-based intervention through a cellular phone and internet SMS.⁴⁰ Another study without a control group included 359 Korean Americans and showed improved BP levels after 12 months of a behavioral education intervention based on BP telemonitoring and bilingual nurse telephone counseling.⁴¹

Low- and Middle-Income Settings

In low- and middle-income countries, rapid globalization is progressively increasing the incidence of noncommunicable diseases and in particular of hypertension.⁴² Given the lack of infrastructure and the need for patients to travel long distances from home to hospitals, telemedicine may be particularly beneficial in these settings. However, the current evidence of the effectiveness of telemedicine on noncommunicable diseases in low- and middle-income countries is poor and mainly based on m-Health interventions.^{43,44} These studies show potential benefit from promoting physical activity and healthy diets, whereas results for other outcomes are conflicting and not definitive. Only one study including hypertensive patients specifically from clinics in Honduras and Mexico showed a benefit on BP control after 6 weeks of follow-up in 200 participants.⁴⁵

Pregnancy

A potential application of telemedicine is hypertension monitoring in pregnancy.⁴⁶ Although several studies show that m-Health solutions may represent an important support for managing hypertensive disorders during pregnancy and improve current clinical practice, most of the evidence is limited to evaluating the feasibility of recruitment to a potential trial, usability of the proposed systems, and improvement of maternal satisfaction.^{47,48} Currently, no study has been of sufficiently large size to demonstrate an improvement in BP control or hypertension management in pregnancy, but 2 large studies are due to report in early 2021.⁴⁹

Patients With Cardiovascular or Renal Disease

The various telemedicine-based studies performed so far in hypertensive patients were, in some cases, conducted in patients who were also affected by additional cardiovascular disease or chronic kidney disease. However, these studies did not provide any significant evidence to support the superiority of telemedicine for BP management in these patients. A recently published meta-analysis⁵⁰ investigated whether the effectiveness of self-monitoring of BP combined with a cointervention, including telemonitoring, varied by comorbidity. Self-monitoring of BP combined with intense cointerventions was more effective than low-intensity self-monitoring in patients with obesity and possibly stroke but not in patients with coronary artery disease, diabetes mellitus, or chronic kidney disease.

A meta-analysis including 3 studies with 680 participants with hypertension and stage III chronic kidney disease showed a reduction in systolic blood pressure in the telemedicine

group after 1 to 2 years of follow-up; however, such reduction was not different from that observed in the usual care group.²⁶

Effectiveness in Specific Settings

Although most studies focusing on the efficacy of telemedicine among hypertensive patients have been performed in hospitals or outpatient clinics, some of them evaluated the impact of services deployed with the support of primary care physicians or pharmacists. These settings are particularly interesting because they represent the main approach to hypertension management in the community. Thus, they may represent the ideal ground for the dissemination of telemedicine in the context of hypertension management.

Community

As previously summarized in Table 2, many studies based on BP telemonitoring have been performed in a community setting. These studies show some evidence of effectiveness, particularly in the case of underserved areas and ethnic minorities. Furthermore, there is currently great interest in new hybrid solutions for remote management of hypertensive patients, based on devices (so-called connected kiosks) placed in community centers, waiting rooms of outpatients or primary care clinics, pharmacy, or grocery stores.⁷ These devices provide unsupervised measurement of BP and other relevant parameters, together with collection of information on the individual's cardiovascular risk, lifestyle, and physical fitness status. These unmanned solutions provide immediate automated feedback to the user with the option of requesting support from a remotely connected healthcare professional. At present, only results from feasibility studies are available for these tools, while their efficacy has still to be proven.^{51–54}

Primary Care

One of the major studies evaluating the impact of telemedicine in hypertension management is the TASMINH2 Study (Telemonitoring and Self-Management in the Control of Hypertension II). This study included 480 hypertensive patients recruited in 24 general practices in the United Kingdom. It evaluated whether an intervention consisting of self-monitoring of BP and self-titration of antihypertensive drugs, combined with telemonitoring of home BP measurements, could lead to substantial reductions of BP over 1 year of follow-up. This intervention was more effective in lowering BP than usual care⁵⁵ and also represented a cost-effective use of healthcare resources.⁵⁶ In another study, the TASMINH4, the same group assessed the efficacy of self-monitored BP, with or without telemonitoring, versus usual care for antihypertensive titration in 142 general practices and 1182 high-risk hypertensive patients with poorly controlled BP.⁵⁷ After 12 months of follow-up, self-monitoring of BP, with or without telemonitoring, was associated with lower BP values and lower costs than usual care.⁵⁸ Although this study did not find any significant difference in the effect of telemonitoring compared with that of self-monitoring after 12 months, BP in the telemonitoring group dropped more quickly (at 6 months) than in the self-monitoring-alone group, an effect which is desirable in high-risk patients to further decrease the risk of cardiovascular events. Furthermore, telemonitoring was cost-effective, even when compared with self-monitoring alone.⁵⁸

Table 2. Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses of Studies Documenting the Efficacy of Telehealth Compared With Usual Care in Hypertension Management

Author	Type of Intervention	Type of Subjects	Setting	No. of Studies (Comparisons)	No. of Subjects
Omboni and Guarda ¹²	BP exchanged through landline or mobile telephone/modem transmission or internet+patient education+case manager	Hypertensive patients (1 study in obese and 1 in people with diabetes mellitus only)	Mainly general practices or community clinics	12 RCTs	4389
Agarwal et al ¹³	BP telemonitoring	Hypertensive patients	Mainly community and general practice	7 RCTs	1510
Verberk et al ¹⁴	BP exchanged through landline or mobile telephone/modem transmission or internet+patient education+case manager	Hypertensive patients	Mainly general practices or community clinics	9 RCTs	2501
Omboni et al ¹⁵	BP exchanged through landline or mobile telephone/modem transmission or the internet, email+patient education+case manager	Hypertensive patients (1 study, only obese; 2 studies, only patients with diabetes mellitus)	Mainly general practices or community clinics	23 RCTs	7037
Zullig et al ¹⁶	Home BP monitoring and nurse telephone call+behavioral modification and medication management	Hypertensive patients (stroke or TIA)	Community or hospital	7 RCTs	2081
Liu et al ¹⁷	Internet-based lifestyle interventions and BP measurement during a face-to-face visit	Mainly hypertensive patients (plus obese, diabetics, and postmenopausal women)	Mainly community and general practice	11 RCTs 2 not RCTs	2221
Niznik et al ¹⁸	Pharmacist-led telephonic clinics, pharmacist management of BP through web communication, electronic messaging (email) or telemonitoring+education	Hypertensive patients (\pm CKD)	Pharmacies	7 RCTs	3336
Tucker et al ¹⁹	Web or phone feedback plus education, counseling, or telecounseling	Hypertensive patients	Primary care, outpatients, and community	18 RCTs	9175

(Continued)

Table 2. Continued

Publication Year (Range)	Quality of the Studies or Publication Bias	Median Length (Range) of Follow-Up, wk	Outcomes Assessed	Summary of Main Results
1996–2010	12 acceptable	24 (8–240)	BP changes	Office (but not ambulatory) BP was reduced significantly more in patients randomized to the intervention
	Low publication bias		Rate of BP control	
			No. of antihypertensive drugs	Office BP control (percentage of patients at target) was better in the telemedicine group
1996–2008	No publication bias	24 (8–48)	BP changes	Greater SBP reduction was observed compared with usual care in subjects using telemonitoring than in those performing self BP monitoring without remote transmission and counseling
1996–2010	Low publication bias	26 (8–52)	BP changes	Larger office BP reduction in the intervention group
				The difference in the effect size of the intervention is larger if treatment is not modified
1996–2011	23 acceptable	24 (8–240)	BP changes	Significantly improved office BP changes and control with the intervention
	Low publication bias (except for cost analysis)		Rate of BP control	
			No. of antihypertensive drugs	Larger prescription of antihypertensive medications in the intervention group
				Adherence to treatment
			No. of office visits	No difference in adherence to treatment and number of office consultations
			Healthcare costs	
			Quality of life	
			Adverse events	Intervention is cost-effective if only medical costs are considered (excluding the costs for the technology)
			Improved physical component of quality of life	
	No difference in the risk of adverse events			
2009–2013	Very poor (only descriptive review and few studies included)	12 (6–24)	BP changes	Larger BP reduction in the intervention group in 2 studies, no effect in 3 studies
			Rate of BP control	
			Adherence to treatment	Improved BP control with the intervention (2 studies)
				More medication changes in the intervention group (1 study)
				No difference in medication adherence (1 study)
2004–2012	No publication bias for SBP	14 (8–48)	BP changes	Internet-based lifestyle intervention significantly reduced BP
	Low publication bias for DBP			The greatest SBP reduction was observed for interventions that lasted at least 6 mo, used ≥5 behavior change techniques or delivered health messaged proactively
2008–2014	Not evaluated	48 (24–72)	BP changes	Significant decrease in BP with pharmacist intervention compared with usual care
			Rate of BP control	
			Morbidity and mortality	Larger proportion of patients with controlled BP in the intervention group
				No differences in deaths, hospitalizations, number of days spent in the hospital, emergency department visits, or skilled nursing facility admissions
2007–2014	Low risk of bias	48 (4–72)	BP changes	Self-monitoring of BP with counseling or telecounseling or with web/ phone feedback plus education is associated with a significantly larger BP reduction and a higher proportion of patients at a target than usual care
			Rate of BP control	Results are consistent at both 6 and 12 mo
				Trend to attenuation of the effect for patients followed up for >1 y
				The effect is more consistent in people on fewer BP medications and with higher baseline BP

(Continued)

Table 2. Continued

Author	Type of Intervention	Type of Subjects	Setting	No. of Studies (Comparisons)	No. of Subjects
Xiong et al ²⁰	m-Health interventions (apps, SMS, voice calls, or emails used as reminders and health education and lifestyle-related recommendations, digital medicines, wireless BP monitoring, and electronic medication tray)	Hypertensive patients	Primary care or community clinics, hospitals	16 RCTs	4017
				1 non-RCT	
				4 before-and-after studies without a control group	
Choi et al ²¹	Data transmitted by telephone, internet, mobile phones, or email	Hypertensive patients	Urban	27 RCTs	9435
Ma et al ²²	e-Health interventions (interactive wireless communication by smartphone, computer, and personal digital assistance tools, self-care behavioral change, or education dissemination)	Hypertensive patients	Mainly community and general practice	14 RCTs	3998
Lu et al ²³	m-Health interventions (mobile phones or wearable sensors) transmitting data to care providers	Hypertensive patients	Mainly community and general practice	11 RCTs	4271
Omboni et al ²⁴	BP exchanged through mobile phone, telephone, modem, internet or email+patient education+pharmacist management	Hypertensive patients	Community pharmacies	4 RCTs	1565
Alessa et al ²⁵	m-Health interventions (smartphone app+email, SMS, voice calls used as contact or health education and lifestyle-related recommendations, electronic medication device, wireless BP monitoring)	Patients with hypertension, metabolic syndrome, obstructive sleep apnea, and obesity	Primary care or community clinics, outpatient clinics, hospitals	9 RCTs 5 nonrandomized studies	2402
Luo et al ²⁶	BP telemonitoring+telephone follow-up or interactive video consultation+education program	Patients with hypertension and CKD stage III	Community and outpatient clinics	3 RCTs	680

(Continued)

Table 2. Continued

Publication Year (Range)	Quality of the Studies or Publication Bias	Median Length (Range) of Follow-Up, wk	Outcomes Assessed	Summary of Main Results	
2000–2017	6 good	12 (4–48)	Medication adherence	12 of the 21 studies found improvements in the patients' medication adherence	
	7 fair				
	8 poor				
1996–2017	Low risk of bias	24 (8–52)	BP changes	Office (but not ambulatory) BP was reduced significantly more in patients randomized to the intervention	
			Rate of BP control	Office BP control (percentage of patients at target) was better in the telemedicine group	
				The intervention was more effective in smaller cities compared with larger cities	
				The intervention was similar regardless of the medically underserved areas	
2008–2017	14 good	24 (12–96)	Physical outcomes (BP, body weight, and cholesterol)	The intervention significantly reduced office BP and significantly decreased the proportion of patients with inadequate BP control and their body weight	
	Low risk of bias		Self-care behavioral outcomes (medication adherence, sodium intake, healthy diet, physical activity, smoking, and alcohol consumption)	The sodium intake was reduced significantly more in patients randomized to the intervention	
			Psychosocial well-being (anxiety, stress, depression, and quality of life)	The effectiveness of the intervention on self-care behavioral change and psychosocial well-being is insufficient	
2007–2019	4 high	48 (4–72)	BP changes	The intervention was associated with a significantly larger BP reduction	
	7 low			No significant differences in BP reduction were observed between trials of shorter and longer duration	
	Low publication bias				
2008–2018	Not evaluated	96 (24–216)	BP changes	BP reductions and proportions of patients at target following a pharmacist intervention were significantly larger than those in the usual care group	
			Rate of BP control	The benefit of the pharmacist's intervention was markedly reduced or abolished months after its withdrawal	
			Cost-effectiveness		
					Improved BP control was achieved at a relatively low cost compared with the usual care (3 studies)
2012–2017	2 good	24 (8–52)	BP changes	The majority (10 of 14) of studies demonstrated a positive effect on SBP, whereas the others showed a neutral effect	
	4 fair			Apps that are incorporating more comprehensive functionalities are likely to be more effective	
	8 poor				
2011–2014	3 moderate quality	24 (24–48)	BP changes	SBP was reduced by the intervention and DBP increased, with no statistically significant difference compared with usual care	
	3 low risk of bias		Creatinine changes	BP control rates were not significantly improved	
			eGFR changes		Serum creatinine was nonsignificantly decreased, and eGFR was maintained at baseline levels

App indicates application; BP, blood pressure; CKD, chronic kidney disease; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; RCT, randomized controlled studies; SBP, systolic blood pressure; SMS, short message system; and TIA, transient ischemic attack.

Table 3. Summary of the Evidence for Benefits of Telemedicine in Hypertension Management Compared With Usual Care

Type of Outcome	Effect	Strength of Evidence
BP reduction	Increased	Moderate
BP control	Improved	High
Use of antihypertensive medications	Increased	Low
Adherence to antihypertensive treatment	Improved	Very low
Frequency of office consultations	Reduced	Low
Quality of life or psychosocial well-being	Improved	Low
Drug safety	Improved	Very low
Costs	Reduced	Very low
Deaths or hospitalizations	Reduced	Very low

BP indicates blood pressure.

Another major randomized controlled trial is the HITS Study, conducted in 20 primary care practices in South East Scotland and involving 401 hypertensive patients with uncontrolled daytime BP. In this study, the intervention consisted of self BP measurement with transmission of BP readings to a secure website for review by the attending physician or nurse, with optional automated feedback to the patient by text or email. After 6 months, daytime BP was reduced significantly more in the intervention than in the usual care group but was more expensive.^{59,60}

In Italy, the TeleBPCare Study assessed whether a home BP telemonitoring intervention with case management by the general practitioner could improve BP control.⁶¹ The study involved 12 general practices and 391 hypertensive patients. After 6 months of follow-up, ambulatory BP control was improved in the intervention group, with less frequent treatment changes, a better quality of life, and lower management costs.

Finally, in a Canadian study recruiting 223 primary care hypertensive patients from 8 different practices, after 1 year of follow-up, ambulatory BP was lower, and more patients achieved the BP target in the nurse-led BP telemonitoring group under physician supervision.⁶² The intervention was also associated with more physician-driven antihypertensive dose adjustments or changes in medications and a trend toward improvement in medication adherence.

A summary of the effect of the intervention versus usual care on office and ambulatory BP in the 5 studies is presented in Figure S1.^{55,57,59,61,62}

Pharmacy Setting

Few randomized controlled studies have evaluated the impact of community pharmacists in the management of hypertensive patients through telemedicine (so-called telepharmacy).²⁴ In these studies, a physician-pharmacist collaborative intervention based on home BP telemonitoring together with patient education on lifestyle, drug therapy, and cardiovascular risk factors control was associated with an enhanced BP control as a consequence of antihypertensive medication intensification

Table 4. Effectiveness of Telemedicine on Different Subgroups of Hypertensive Patients

Significant Effects	Nonsignificant Effects or Insufficient Evidence
Patients with higher BP	Patients with coronary artery disease
Obese patients	Patients with CKD
Patients with previous stroke	Patients in developing countries
Diabetic patients	Pregnant women
Blacks (living in medically underserved areas)	

BP indicates blood pressure; and CKD, chronic kidney disease.

and optimization. As shown in Figure S2, the magnitude of BP reductions and proportions of patients at target following a 6- to 12-month pharmacist's intervention were significantly larger than those observed in patients randomized to usual care.^{28,63–71} The benefit of the pharmacist's intervention was consistently reduced or even abolished months after its withdrawal, highlighting the importance of the sustainability of the intervention in the long term. Interestingly, the few studies that also evaluated the cost-effectiveness of the intervention documented that the improved BP control could be achieved at a relatively low cost compared with the usual care approach. The management of uncomplicated mild hypertensive patients by pharmacists licensed to prescribe or adjust medications, as occurs now in many states in the United States, may help free up physicians to manage more complex patients and may be a more cost-effective way to practice.

More recently, the TEMPLAR project (Telemonitoring of Blood Pressure in Local Pharmacies) documented an improved screening of high BP by the introduction of 24-hour ABPM with medical telereporting and telecounseling in Italian community pharmacies.⁷²

Benefits

The major benefits of telemedicine services for hypertension management are summarized in Table S5 and discussed below.

Telemedicine may help to build and maintain an enduring and long-term relationship between patients and their healthcare providers. Telemedicine may help empower hypertensive patients, influencing their attitudes and behaviors, and promote self-management, with improvement in the patient's medical condition. Digital interventions can help reinforce and individualize the physician-patient relationship and thus improve BP and cardiovascular risk control.⁷³ Technological innovation due to telemedicine may bring better professional cooperation, information sharing, decision support, and flexibility to the healthcare system. Remote patient monitoring through telemedicine allows physicians and health facilities to expand their reach, beyond their own offices, and to easily provide services to an increased number of patients, with consistent savings in time demands and with the same content quality of traditional in-person consultations. Where face-to-face contact is difficult due to fear of infection (eg, in time of pandemic such as that due to COVID-19) or due to isolation (as in the case of natural disasters), disability, or geography, then telemedicine provides opportunities for care where none

would otherwise be possible.² In particular, telemedicine enhances the monitoring, tracking, and communication of various biometric information, enabling greater engagement and partnership of patients in their care, reducing their stress. In the case of hypertensive patients, telemedicine services can be used to easily and rapidly communicate to the referring doctor the occurrence of acute symptoms or sudden BP rises. Finally, telemedicine services offer hypertensive patients the access to diagnostic procedures that might not be available otherwise, without the need to cover long distances (eg, ambulatory BP monitoring or ECG facilities in community pharmacies linked to telemedical reporting services).

Barriers and Challenges

The incomplete evidence on the clinical efficacy and economic benefit of telemedicine provided so far by randomized studies, technological barriers, high costs of devices, heterogeneity of solutions and technologies, lack of infrastructures and standards, lack of reimbursement, privacy, and security issues, have all hindered the dissemination of telehealth strategies and their adoption in the daily practice of healthcare professionals and patients^{5,74} (Table S6).

Currently, several services are available on the market, and none appears to be a benchmark solution to be used as a reference to standardize a healthcare model based on telemedicine. Existing systems are not interoperable and are rarely integrated into the existing healthcare systems and electronic health records.

The development of telehealth programs requires investment in infrastructure, including the purchase and maintenance of computer hardware and related software, as well as secure means for data transmission, compliant with current privacy regulations. Additional costs are those for recruitment and licensing of appropriate professionals for service management and those of providing training and support services to staff and users. The costs related to implementation of telemedicine services, together with the lack of reimbursement of services and consultations, play a critical role in preventing or delaying the development and diffusion of telemedicine solutions.

Poor informatics skill levels, lack of motivation, and inadequate knowledge of the clinical usefulness of telemedicine represent major cultural barriers to the routine use of telemedicine, from both doctor's and patient's perspectives. The educational level and features of the patients targeted for the intervention are also important. Resistance to adopting new models of care affects both patients and healthcare workforces. To facilitate the diffusion of telehealth services, efforts should be dedicated to their integration into the existing organizational structures and to provide institutional support to deliver these services.

Regulatory and Legal Issues

Several regulatory and legal issues need to be addressed to ensure the quality of telemedicine services in hypertension management. These aspects are briefly summarized in Table S7 and extensively discussed in the next paragraphs.

Telemedicine services are based on hardware or software and provide medical diagnosis and care: thus, they must

be classified as medical devices. This means that telemedicine equipment must be developed, validated, and certified according to the recommendations and indications of regulatory bodies. This is particularly relevant for health apps: they are growing rapidly on the market, but the majority of them lack an appropriate test for efficacy and quality and are often labeled as wellness or fitness products to circumvent regulation when, indeed, most of them act as medical devices.⁷⁵ These considerations strongly emphasize the importance of scientific validation for health app content and the need of demonstrating their usefulness through properly designed clinical studies.^{76–78}

At the end of the process of development of a telemedicine solution and before the product is placed on the market and used by healthcare providers and patients, the manufacturer must provide evidence of clinical validation and submit the product to the authority for certification as a medical device. In Europe, according to the new EU Regulation 2017/745, software to be used for medical purposes (diagnosis, prevention, monitoring, prediction, prognosis, treatment, or alleviation of disease) is defined as a medical device. As such, it must be validated according to state of the art, taking into account the principles of the development life cycle, risk management, validation and verification, and postmarketing surveillance.⁷⁹

In the United States, the Food and Drug Administration regulation states that telemedicine software (or m-apps) meets the regulatory definition of the device if “intended for use in the diagnosis of disease or other conditions, or in the cure, mitigation, treatment, or prevention of disease, in man or other animals, or intended to affect the structure or any function of the body of man or other animals, and does not achieve its primary intended purposes through chemical action within or on the body of man or other animals and is not dependent upon being metabolized for the achievement of any of its primary intended purposes.”⁸⁰

A further aspect of telemedicine that is often underestimated is the need for clear guidelines about its application and the need for training, certification, and licensing of operators. These guidelines apply to doctors, nurses, and pharmacists, important groups often handling telemedicine solutions. Indeed, healthcare professionals used to work in a traditional face-to-face care setting, may need adequate training to be effective when providing services with telemedicine. In the United States, the American Telemedicine Association offers a telemedicine accreditation program for online, synchronous patient consultations, which promotes patient safety, transparency of operations, and adherence to all relevant laws and regulations.⁸¹ There are also accreditation programs designed for organizations that provide telemedicine services, such as those promoted by the Review Accreditation Commission.⁸² Since telemedicine crosses the boundaries of individual countries, while physician licenses do not, a major challenge to be faced in the future is whether special regulations are needed for doctors to provide telemedicine services (eg, telemonitoring, televisit, or teleconsultation) to patients dwelling in another country. In the United States, for instance, 12 states foresee a special license allowing the physician to practice across state lines for telemedicine only, while 6 states require the physician

to register if he wishes to practice across state lines.⁸³ In Europe, doctors can freely practice across the EU member states. However, language is a major challenge to provide telemedicine services to local patients.

Privacy and security issues are important when data are traveling through the web, and they are particularly important due to the increasing use of cloud solutions. In particular, the large availability of user-friendly smartphones and m-apps is raising great concerns among doctors and patients about lack of transparency regarding the utilization and transfer of data and how data are protected from potential breaches and misuse.

Since telemedicine involves the collection and sharing of patients' health information, safeguards are needed in place to secure the privacy and safety of these data, to minimize the risk of a data breach. Any data transmission through the web must be encrypted to ensure security. Servers where data are stored must be protected from intruders with firewalls, antivirus software, and anti-ransomware tools. Regular data backups and fast procedures for data restoration or even data mirroring must be ensured.

Compliance with privacy regulations by telemedicine developers and providers is mandatory to guarantee the integrity of users' health data. In Europe, the General Data Protection Regulation 2016/679 harmonizes data privacy law across Europe to protect and empower all European citizens' data privacy extending the concept of personal data and liability to all data processors.⁸⁴ In the United States, the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act ensures similar security standards for any medical activity associated with telemedicine.⁸⁵

Telemedicine in the Spotlight at the Time of COVID-19 and Natural Disasters

During the public health emergency due to COVID-19, telemedicine has thrived and emerged worldwide as an indispensable resource to improve surveillance of patients, contain the spread of the disease, favor early identification, and prompt management of infected people but particularly to ensure continuity of care of vulnerable patients with multiple chronic diseases, including hypertension.^{2,3} The recent pandemic has strongly promoted the use of remote monitoring and televisits, where in-person consulting has been greatly reduced or impossible.^{86,87}

In the next paragraphs, we present the experience collected in the countries of the experts involved in the development of the position paper during the COVID-19 pandemic and during similar national emergencies.

In the United Kingdom, during the COVID-19 pandemic, there has been a marked upswing toward BP telemonitoring in antenatal care, underpinned by rapidly produced national guidelines, with around 80% of maternity units adopting a program of BP self-monitoring for pregnant women.⁸⁸ In Scotland, an integrated telemonitoring system for hypertension implemented in the last few years at scale in 75 primary care practices and used by 3200 patients with established hypertension has seen a marked increase in the demand and provision of the services by hypertensive patients locked down due to the infectious outbreak.⁸⁹

In Italy, the national health system struggled to sustain the wave of patients with COVID-19 due to a shortage of personnel, devices, and intensive care unit beds. During the 2 months of lockdown, patients with chronic disease and hypertension, kept at distance by hospitals, general practices, and outpatient specialist clinics, markedly increased their access to health content on the internet seeking qualified and certified telehealth services able to help manage their condition. A significant increment in the use of home telemonitoring solutions based on m-Health has been observed during the lockdown due to the halting of face-to-face visits (+768% new active users and +840% new data exchanged). On the contrary, in the same period, telemedicine and telecounseling services daily provided to patients through community pharmacies and general practices showed a dramatic drop (−881%) because of the reduced access of patients to these premises for fear of contagion.⁹⁰ Unfortunately, the use of telemedicine by patients at home was hampered by a limited ICT infrastructure.

In the United States, because of COVID-19, governmental policies have been introduced to enable broad expansion and rapid implementation of telemedicine.² There was a relaxing of federal regulatory use of telemedicine including allowing the use of telemedicine across state lines and increased reimbursement by Medicare (and many other insurers) for telehealth visits for the first time due to COVID-19, with close to in-person rates. The government had also funded telehealth services, particularly in underserved clinics.⁹¹ Besides, there was continuing work to adapt programs to support individuals during the pandemic.⁹²

In Canada, the pandemic has pushed physicians to adopt makeshift solutions to provide care.⁹³ Televisits are now commonplace with the telephone being the primary communication tool. However, physicians have soon realized that televisits with no monitoring information, no updated laboratory results, and no physical assessment have limited value. Most are dissatisfied with the experience. At this point, it is unclear how the patients viewed the experience and whether telemedicine will boost after the pandemic.

Telemedicine and BP telemonitoring have also proved to be effective approaches in natural disaster situations. In Japan, at the time of the Great East Japan Earthquake in 2011, the Disaster Cardiovascular Prevention system providing cloud-based BP telemonitoring has been introduced into the disaster area to manage patients with disaster hypertension.^{94,95} In the acute phase, this telemonitoring system was effective to pick up the real-time high-risk patients based on daily trends of self-measured BP. Among the patients followed through the system, the doctors actually identified treated patients with excessive BP reduction by dehydration, or with sudden and significant increment in BP, and could contact them to adjust therapy.⁹⁶ The telemonitoring system reduced the frequency of patients' visits during the immediate time following the disaster but did not miss the high-risk patients confined at home. In a stable situation after the acute phase, this system was effective for proactive BP control.⁹⁷

Final Recommendations

Many current hypertension guidelines do not contain specific recommendations on the use of telemedicine for

hypertension management.^{98–104} As summarized in Table S8, only the American guidelines clearly recommend the use of telemedicine to confirm the diagnosis of hypertension and as an adjunct on top of the standard intervention to improve BP control and adherence.⁶

Undoubtedly, evidence about the usefulness of telemedicine for the management of hypertensive patients is far from being definitive or consistent. However, in the last decade, the number of well-conducted and large studies performed in different subgroups of hypertensives and different settings has increased. Additionally, the technology has much improved, allowing a more widespread diffusion of telemedicine solutions among healthcare doctors and patients. In this sense, the proliferation of m-apps has raised awareness among the general public about the importance of telemedicine. However, it has also drawn attention to the danger of uncontrolled connected health.

Table 5 summarizes proposals for possible telemedicine provision models based on the evidence provided and discussed in the previous sections and originating from the consensus of the international experts involved in the present publication.

In conclusion, telemedicine represents a useful approach to help deliver effective care to hypertensive patients and optimize their management by doctors and other care managers.

Table 5. Recommendations for Hypertension Management With Telemedicine: Proposed Healthcare Models

Type of service
Remote monitoring of vital signs (eg, BP)+medication adherence+education on lifestyle and risk factors+asynchronous feedback (best option)
Combined with telephone or video consultation (optional)
Type of case management and feedback
Automatic responses based on interpretative algorithms
Attended services (nonmedical case manager, physician, nurse, or pharmacist)
Mixed automatic services with multidisciplinary clinical team supervision (ideal option)
Type of medical intervention
Structured care
Integrated multilevel management by different healthcare professionals (nurse or pharmacist; best option)
Target populations
Screening for suspected hypertension (or white coat hypertension)
Ongoing management of hypertension especially
Older adults
Medically underserved populations (deprived areas)
High-risk hypertensive patients (resistant hypertensives, patients with poor medication adherence)
Multimorbidity (diabetes mellitus, obesity, and stroke)
Where face-to-face consultation is difficult due to geography or pandemic or other national emergencies and consequent lockdown (eg, COVID-19)

BP indicates blood pressure; and COVID-19, coronavirus disease 2019.

The current evidence supports its use in patients with difficult-to-treat hypertension or poorly adherent to medication management. However, more scientific evidence is needed to demonstrate the effectiveness of telemedicine in other specific subgroups and beyond effective BP lowering and control. Besides this aspect, we must acknowledge that several barriers still limit the implementation of telemedicine in the routine clinical management of the hypertensive patient, including the fact that telemedicine is considered as an add-on to existing care rather than an indispensable tool to be blended in current care delivery. This pool of experts is confident that in the future, telemedicine will be more and more embedded in standard delivery care models of hypertension with great benefits for patients and their doctors. Eventually, the current COVID-19 crisis will boost this process.

Acknowledgments

All named authors meet the International Committee of Medical Journal Editors criteria for authorship for this article, take responsibility for the integrity of the work as a whole, and have given final approval to the version to be published. S. Omboni made the literature search, drew the pictures and tables, and drafted the manuscript. R.J. McManus contributed to its refinement. All authors critically revised and approved the final manuscript.

Sources of Funding

None.

Disclosures

S. Omboni is a scientific consultant of Biotechmed, Ltd, provider of telemedicine services. R.J. McManus receives grants from the National Institute for Health Research Oxford and Thames Valley Applied Research Collaboration and Stroke Association to study telemonitoring, is working with Omron to develop a novel telemonitoring system, and is a General Practitioner working in a service currently relying on telemonitoring for care of hypertension patients. H.B. Bosworth is a scientific consultant for Sanofi, Novartis, and Preventive Diagnostic and receives research funds through his institution from Sanofi, Novo Nordisk, Otsuka, and Improved Patient Outcomes. L.C. Chappell receives grants from the National Institute for Health Research to study hypertension in pregnancy. B.B. Green is serving as a coinvestigator on a contract awarded to the Kaiser Foundation Health Plan of Washington from Amgen to evaluate the accuracy of using electronic health record data to identify individuals with reduced ejection fraction heart failure. K. Kario received research grants from Omron Healthcare, Inc (Kyoto, Japan), and A&D, Inc (Tokyo, Japan). A.G. Logan is the recipient of a catalyst grant on telemonitoring from the Canadian Institutes of Health Research, Canada's federal funding agency for health research. D.J. Magid receives grants from the National Institutes of Health, American Heart Association, and Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services. B. McKinstry is the clinical lead for the Scottish Government Scale-Up BP programme on blood pressure telemonitoring. Within the last 3 years, he has received grants from the Chief Scientist Office, British Heart Foundation, and the Stroke Association for research into telemonitoring. G. Parati has received a research grant from Omron and honoraria for lectures from Omron Health Care, Somnomedics, and Sanofi. The other authors report no conflicts.

References

1. Sood S, Mbarika V, Jugoo S, Dookhy R, Doarn CR, Prakash N, Merrell RC. What is telemedicine? A collection of 104 peer-reviewed perspectives and theoretical underpinnings. *Telemed J E Health*. 2007;13:573–590. doi: 10.1089/tmj.2006.0073
2. Keesara S, Jonas A, Schulman K. COVID-19 and health care's digital revolution. *N Engl J Med*. 2020;382:e82. doi: 10.1056/NEJMp2005835
3. Latifi R, Doarn CR. Perspective on COVID-19: finally, telemedicine at Center Stage. *Telemed e-Health*. 2020. doi: 10.1089/tmj.2020.0132

4. GBD 2015 Risk Factors Collaborators. Global, regional, and national comparative risk assessment of 79 behavioural, environmental and occupational, and metabolic risks or clusters of risks, 1990-2015: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2015. *Lancet (London, England)*. 2016;388:1659–1724. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(16)31679-8
5. Omboni S. Connected health in hypertension management. *Front Cardiovasc Med*. 2019;6:76. doi: 10.3389/fcvm.2019.00076
6. Whelton PK, Carey RM, Aronow WS, Casey DE, Collins KJ, Dennison Himmelfarb C, DePalma SM, Gidding S, Jamerson KA, Jones DW, et al. 2017 ACC/AHA/AAPA/ABC/ACPM/AGS/APhA/ASH/ASPC/NMA/PCNA guideline for the prevention, detection, evaluation, and management of high blood pressure in adults: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines. *Circulation*. 2018;138:e484–e594. doi: 10.1161/CIR.0000000000000596
7. Omboni S, Panzeri E, Campolo L. E-health in hypertension management: an insight into the current and future role of blood pressure telemonitoring. *Curr Hypertens Rep*. 2020;22:42. doi: 10.1007/s11906-020-01056-y
8. Moghaddasi H, Asadi F, Hosseini A, Ebnehoseini Z. E-health: a global approach with extensive semantic variation. *J Med Syst*. 2012;36:3173–3176. doi: 10.1007/s10916-011-9805-z
9. American Telemedicine Association. Q&A about telemedicine. 2020. <https://www.americantelemed.org/resource/why-telemedicine/>. Accessed June 29, 2020.
10. Gershon-Cohen J, Cooley AG. Telognosis. *Radiology*. 1950;55:582–587. doi: 10.1148/55.4.582
11. Omboni S, Ferrari R. The role of telemedicine in hypertension management: focus on blood pressure telemonitoring. *Curr Hypertens Rep*. 2015;17:535. doi: 10.1007/s11906-015-0535-3
12. Omboni S, Guarda A. Impact of home blood pressure telemonitoring and blood pressure control: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled studies. *Am J Hypertens*. 2011;24:989–998. doi: 10.1038/ajh.2011.100
13. Agarwal R, Bills JE, Hecht TJ, Light RP. Role of home blood pressure monitoring in overcoming therapeutic inertia and improving hypertension control: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Hypertension*. 2011;57:29–38. doi: 10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.110.160911
14. Verberk WJ, Kessels AG, Thien T. Telecare is a valuable tool for hypertension management, a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Blood Press Monit*. 2011;16:149–155. doi: 10.1097/MBP.0b013e328346e092
15. Omboni S, Gazzola T, Carabelli G, Parati G. Clinical usefulness and cost effectiveness of home blood pressure telemonitoring: meta-analysis of randomized controlled studies. *J Hypertens*. 2013;31:455–467; discussion 467. doi: 10.1097/HJH.0b013e32835ca8dd
16. Zullig LL, Melnyk SD, Goldstein K, Shaw RJ, Bosworth HB. The role of home blood pressure telemonitoring in managing hypertensive populations. *Curr Hypertens Rep*. 2013;15:346–355. doi: 10.1007/s11906-013-0351-6
17. Liu S, Dunford SD, Leung YW, Brooks D, Thomas SG, Eysenbach G, Nolan RP. Reducing blood pressure with Internet-based interventions: a meta-analysis. *Can J Cardiol*. 2013;29:613–621. doi: 10.1016/j.cjca.2013.02.007
18. Niznik JD, He H, Kane-Gill SL. Impact of clinical pharmacist services delivered via telemedicine in the outpatient or ambulatory care setting: a systematic review. *Res Social Adm Pharm*. 2018;14:707–717. doi: 10.1016/j.sapharm.2017.10.011
19. Tucker KL, Sheppard JP, Stevens R, Bosworth HB, Bove A, Bray EP, Earle K, George J, Godwin M, Green BB, et al. Self-monitoring of blood pressure in hypertension: a systematic review and individual patient data meta-analysis. *PLoS Med*. 2017;14:e1002389. doi: 10.1371/journal.pmed.1002389
20. Xiong S, Berkhouse H, Schooler M, Pu W, Sun A, Gong E, Yan LL. Effectiveness of mHealth interventions in improving medication adherence among people with hypertension: a systematic review. *Curr Hypertens Rep*. 2018;20:86. doi: 10.1007/s11906-018-0886-7
21. Choi WS, Choi JH, Oh J, Shin IS, Yang JS. Effects of remote monitoring of blood pressure in management of urban hypertensive patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Telemed J E Health*. 2020;26:744–759. doi: 10.1089/tmj.2019.0028
22. Ma Y, Cheng HY, Cheng L, Sit JWH. The effectiveness of electronic health interventions on blood pressure control, self-care behavioural outcomes and psychosocial well-being in patients with hypertension: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int J Nurs Stud*. 2019;92:27–46. doi: 10.1016/j.ijnurstu.2018.11.007
23. Lu X, Yang H, Xia X, Lu X, Lin J, Liu F, Gu D. Interactive mobile health intervention and blood pressure management in adults. *Hypertension*. 2019;74:697–704. doi: 10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.119.13273
24. Omboni S, Tenti M, Coronetti C. Physician-pharmacist collaborative practice and telehealth may transform hypertension management. *J Hum Hypertens*. 2019;33:177–187. doi: 10.1038/s41371-018-0147-x
25. Alessa T, Hawley MS, Hock ES, De Witte L. Smartphone apps to support self-management of hypertension: review and content analysis. *J Med Internet Res*. 2019;21:e13645. doi: 10.2196/13645
26. Luo L, Ye M, Tan J, Huang Q, Qin X, Peng S, Wang Y, Zou T, Jie X, Liu X, et al. Telehealth for the management of blood pressure in patients with chronic kidney disease: a systematic review. *J Telemed Telecare*. 2019;25:80–92. doi: 10.1177/1357633X17743276
27. Bosworth HB, Powers BJ, Olsen MK, McCant F, Grubber J, Smith V, Gentry PW, Rose C, Van Houtven C, Wang V, et al. Home blood pressure management and improved blood pressure control: results from a randomized controlled trial. *Arch Intern Med*. 2011;171:1173–1180. doi: 10.1001/archinternmed.2011.276
28. Margolis KL, Asche SE, Dehmer SP, Bergdall AR, Green BB, Sperl-Hillen JM, Nyboer RA, Pawloski PA, Maciosek MV, Trower NK, et al. Long-term outcomes of the effects of home blood pressure telemonitoring and pharmacist management on blood pressure among adults with uncontrolled hypertension: follow-up of a cluster randomized clinical trial. *JAMA Netw Open*. 2018;1:e181617. doi: 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2018.1617
29. Lee SWH, Ooi L, Lai YK. Telemedicine for the management of glycemic control and clinical outcomes of type 1 diabetes mellitus: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled studies. *Front Pharmacol*. 2017;8:330. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2017.00330
30. Earle KA, Istepanian RS, Zitouni K, Sungoor A, Tang B. Mobile telemonitoring for achieving tighter targets of blood pressure control in patients with complicated diabetes: a pilot study. *Diabetes Technol Ther*. 2010;12:575–579. doi: 10.1089/dia.2009.0090
31. Wakefield BJ, Holman JE, Ray A, Scherubel M, Adams MR, Hillis SL, Rosenthal GE. Effectiveness of home telehealth in comorbid diabetes and hypertension: a randomized, controlled trial. *Telemed J E Health*. 2011;17:254–261. doi: 10.1089/tmj.2010.0176
32. Wakefield BJ, Holman JE, Ray A, Scherubel M, Adams MR, Hillis SL, Rosenthal GE. Outcomes of a home telehealth intervention for patients with diabetes and hypertension. *Telemed J E Health*. 2012;18:575–579. doi: 10.1089/tmj.2011.0237
33. Frias J, Virdi N, Raja P, Kim Y, Savage G, Osterberg L. Effectiveness of digital medicines to improve clinical outcomes in patients with uncontrolled hypertension and type 2 diabetes: prospective, open-label, cluster-randomized pilot clinical trial. *J Med Internet Res*. 2017;19:e246. doi: 10.2196/jmir.7833
34. Logan AG, Irvine MJ, McIsaac WJ, Tisler A, Rossos PG, Easty A, Feig DS, Cafazzo JA. Effect of home blood pressure telemonitoring with self-care support on uncontrolled systolic hypertension in diabetics. *Hypertension*. 2012;60:51–57. doi: 10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.111.188409
35. Artinian NT, Washington OG, Templin TN. Effects of home telemonitoring and community-based monitoring on blood pressure control in urban African Americans: a pilot study. *Heart Lung*. 2001;30:191–199. doi: 10.1067/mhl.2001.112684
36. Pezzin LE, Feldman PH, Mongoven JM, McDonald MV, Gerber LM, Peng TR. Improving blood pressure control: results of home-based post-acute care interventions. *J Gen Intern Med*. 2011;26:280–286. doi: 10.1007/s11606-010-1525-4
37. Brennan T, Spettell C, Villagra V, Ofili E, McMahon-Walraven C, Lowy EJ, Daniels P, Quarshie A, Mayberry R. Disease management to promote blood pressure control among African Americans. *Popul Health Manag*. 2010;13:65–72. doi: 10.1089/pop.2009.0019
38. Buis L, Hirzel L, Dawood RM, Dawood KL, Nichols LP, Artinian NT, Schiebier L, Yarandi HN, Roberson DN, Plegue MA, et al. Text messaging to improve hypertension medication adherence in african americans from primary care and emergency department settings: results from two randomized feasibility studies. *JMIR Mhealth Uhealth*. 2017;5:e9. doi: 10.2196/mhealth.6630
39. Li WW, Lai WS. [The use of telemedicine interventions to improve hypertension management among racial ethnic minorities: a systematic review]. *Hu Li Za Zhi*. 2016;63:25–34. doi: 10.6224/JN.63.4.25
40. Park MJ, Kim HS, Kim KS. Cellular phone and internet-based individual intervention on blood pressure and obesity in obese patients with hypertension. *Int J Med Inform*. 2009;78:704–710. doi: 10.1016/j.ijmedinf.2009.06.004
41. Kim MT, Han HR, Hedlin H, Kim J, Song HJ, Kim KB, Hill MN. Teletransmitted monitoring of blood pressure and bilingual nurse counseling-sustained improvements in blood pressure control during 12 months

- in hypertensive Korean Americans. *J Clin Hypertens (Greenwich)*. 2011;13:605–612. doi: 10.1111/j.1751-7176.2011.00479.x
42. Geldsetzer P, Manne-Goehler J, Marcus ME, Ebert C, Zhumadilov Z, Wessch CS, Tsabedze L, Supiyev A, Sturua L, Bahendeka SK, et al. The state of hypertension care in 44 low-income and middle-income countries: a cross-sectional study of nationally representative individual-level data from 1.1 million adults. *Lancet*. 2019;394:652–662. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(19)30955-9
 43. Müller AM, Alley S, Schoeppe S, Vandelanotte C. The effectiveness of e- & mHealth interventions to promote physical activity and healthy diets in developing countries: a systematic review. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act*. 2016;13:109. doi: 10.1186/s12966-016-0434-2
 44. Stephani V, Opoku D, Quentin W. A systematic review of randomized controlled trials of mHealth interventions against non-communicable diseases in developing countries. *BMC Public Health*. 2016;16:572. doi: 10.1186/s12889-016-3226-3
 45. Piette JD, Datwani H, Gaudio S, Foster SM, Westphal J, Perry W, Rodríguez-Saldana J, Mendoza-Avelares MO, Marinac N. Hypertension management using mobile technology and home blood pressure monitoring: results of a randomized trial in two low/middle-income countries. *Telemed J E Health*. 2012;18:613–620. doi: 10.1089/tmj.2011.0271
 46. Khalil A, Perry H, Lanssens D, Gyselaers W. Telemonitoring for hypertensive disease in pregnancy. *Expert Rev Med Devices*. 2019;16:653–661. doi: 10.1080/17434440.2019.1640116
 47. Rivera-Romero O, Olmo A, Muñoz R, Stiefel P, Miranda ML, Beltrán LM. Mobile health solutions for hypertensive disorders in pregnancy: scoping literature review. *JMIR Mhealth Uhealth*. 2018;6:e130. doi: 10.2196/mhealth.9671
 48. Pealing LM, Tucker KL, Mackillop LH, Crawford C, Wilson H, Nickless A, Temple E, Chappell LC, McManus RJ; OPTIMUM-BP Investigators. A randomised controlled trial of blood pressure self-monitoring in the management of hypertensive pregnancy. OPTIMUM-BP: a feasibility trial. *Pregnancy Hypertens*. 2019;18:141–149. doi: 10.1016/j.preghy.2019.09.018
 49. Dougall G, Franssen M, Tucker KL, Yu LM, Hinton L, Rivero-Arias O, Abel L, Allen J, Band RJ, Chisholm A, et al. Blood pressure monitoring in high-risk pregnancy to improve the detection and monitoring of hypertension (the BUMP 1 and 2 trials): protocol for two linked randomised controlled trials. *BMJ Open*. 2020;10:e034593. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2019-034593
 50. Sheppard JP, Tucker KL, Davison WJ, Stevens R, Aekplakorn W, Bosworth HB, Bove A, Earle K, Godwin M, Green BB, et al. Self-monitoring of blood pressure in patients with hypertension-related multi-morbidity: systematic review and individual patient data meta-analysis. *Am J Hypertens*. 2020;33:243–251. doi: 10.1093/ajh/hpz182
 51. Resnick HE, Ilagan PR, Kaylor MB, Mehling D, Alwan M. TEAHm-technologies for enhancing access to health management: a pilot study of community-based telehealth. *Telemed J E Health*. 2012;18:166–174. doi: 10.1089/tmj.2011.0122
 52. Courtney KL, Matthews JT, McMillan JM, Person Mecca L, Smailagic A, Siewiorek D. Usability testing of a prototype multi-user telehealth kiosk. *Stud Health Technol Inform*. 2015;208:109–113.
 53. Youm S, Park SH. How the awareness of u-healthcare service and health conditions affect healthy lifestyle: an empirical analysis based on a u-healthcare service experience. *Telemed J E Health*. 2015;21:286–295. doi: 10.1089/tmj.2014.0092
 54. Chung CF, Munson SA, Thompson MJ, Baldwin LM, Kaplan J, Cline R, Green BB. Implementation of a new kiosk technology for blood pressure management in a family medicine clinic: from the WWAMI region Practice and Research Network. *J Am Board Fam Med*. 2016;29:620–629. doi: 10.3122/jabfm.2016.05.160096
 55. McManus RJ, Mant J, Bray EP, Holder R, Jones MI, Greenfield S, Kaambwa B, Banting M, Bryan S, Little P, et al. Telemonitoring and self-management in the control of hypertension (TASMINH2): a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet*. 2010;376:163–172. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(10)60964-6
 56. Kaambwa B, Bryan S, Jowett S, Mant J, Bray EP, Hobbs FD, Holder R, Jones MI, Little P, Williams B, et al. Telemonitoring and self-management in the control of hypertension (TASMINH2): a cost-effectiveness analysis. *Eur J Prev Cardiol*. 2014;21:1517–1530. doi: 10.1177/2047487313501886
 57. McManus RJ, Mant J, Franssen M, Nickless A, Schwartz C, Hodgkinson J, Bradburn P, Farmer A, Grant S, Greenfield SM, et al; TASMINH4 Investigators. Efficacy of self-monitored blood pressure, with or without telemonitoring, for titration of antihypertensive medication (TASMINH4): an unmasked randomised controlled trial. *Lancet*. 2018;391:949–959. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(18)30309-X
 58. Monahan M, Jowett S, Nickless A, Franssen M, Grant S, Greenfield S, Hobbs FDR, Hodgkinson J, Mant J, McManus RJ. Cost-effectiveness of telemonitoring and self-monitoring of blood pressure for antihypertensive titration in primary care (TASMINH4). *Hypertension*. 2019;73:1231–1239. doi: 10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.118.12415
 59. McKinstry B, Hanley J, Wild S, Pagliari C, Paterson M, Lewis S, Sheikh A, Krishan A, Stoddart A, Padfield P. Telemonitoring based service redesign for the management of uncontrolled hypertension: multicentre randomised controlled trial. *BMJ*. 2013;346:f3030. doi: 10.1136/bmj.f3030
 60. Stoddart A, Hanley J, Wild S, Pagliari C, Paterson M, Lewis S, Sheikh A, Krishan A, Padfield P, McKinstry B. Telemonitoring-based service redesign for the management of uncontrolled hypertension (HITS): cost and cost-effectiveness analysis of a randomised controlled trial. *BMJ Open*. 2013;3:e002681. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2013-002681
 61. Parati G, Omboni S, Albini F, Piantoni L, Giuliano A, Revera M, Illyes M, Mancina G; TeleBPCare Study Group. Home blood pressure telemonitoring improves hypertension control in general practice. The TeleBPCare study. *J Hypertens*. 2009;27:198–203. doi: 10.1097/hjh.0b013e3283163caf
 62. Rinfret S, Lussier MT, Peirce A, Duhamel F, Cossette S, Lalonde L, Tremblay C, Guertin MC, LeLorier J, Turgeon J, et al; LOYAL Study Investigators. The impact of a multidisciplinary information technology-supported program on blood pressure control in primary care. *Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes*. 2009;2:170–177. doi: 10.1161/CIRCOUTCOMES.108.823765
 63. Magid DJ, Ho PM, Olson KL, Brand DW, Welch LK, Snow KE, Lambert-Kerzner AC, Plomondon ME, Havranek EP. A multimodal blood pressure control intervention in 3 healthcare systems. *Am J Manag Care*. 2011;17:e96–103.
 64. Margolis KL, Asche SE, Bergdall AR, Dehmer SP, Groen SE, Kadrmas HM, Kerby TJ, Klotzle KJ, Maciosek MV, Michels RD, et al. Effect of home blood pressure telemonitoring and pharmacist management on blood pressure control: a cluster randomized clinical trial. *JAMA*. 2013;310:46–56. doi: 10.1001/jama.2013.6549
 65. Magid DJ, Olson KL, Billups SJ, Wagner NM, Lyons EE, Kroner BA. A pharmacist-led, American Heart Association Heart360 Web-enabled home blood pressure monitoring program. *Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes*. 2013;6:157–163. doi: 10.1161/CIRCOUTCOMES.112.968172
 66. Green BB, Cook AJ, Ralston JD, Fishman PA, Catz SL, Carlson J, Carrell D, Tyll L, Larson EB, Thompson RS. Effectiveness of home blood pressure monitoring, Web communication, and pharmacist care on hypertension control: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA*. 2008;299:2857–2867. doi: 10.1001/jama.299.24.2857
 67. Welch LK, Olson KL, Snow KE, Pointer L, Lambert-Kerzner A, Havranek EP, Magid DJ, Ho PM. Systolic blood pressure control after participation in a hypertension intervention study. *Am J Manag Care*. 2011;17:473–478.
 68. Green BB, Anderson ML, Ralston JD, Catz SL, Cook AJ. Blood pressure 1 year after completion of web-based pharmacist care. *JAMA Intern Med*. 2013;173:1250–1252. doi: 10.1001/jamainternmed.2013.1037
 69. Fishman PA, Cook AJ, Anderson ML, Ralston JD, Catz SL, Carrell D, Carlson J, Green BB. Improving BP control through electronic communications: an economic evaluation. *Am J Manag Care*. 2013;19:709–716.
 70. Billups SJ, Moore LR, Olson KL, Magid DJ. Cost-effectiveness evaluation of a home blood pressure monitoring program. *Am J Manag Care*. 2014;20:e380–e387.
 71. Dehmer SP, Maciosek MV, Trower NK, Asche SE, Bergdall AR, Nyboer RA, O'Connor PJ, Pawloski PA, Sperl-Hillen JM, Green BB, et al. Economic evaluation of the home blood pressure telemonitoring and pharmacist case management to control hypertension (hyperlink) trial. *J Am Coll Clin Pharm*. 2018;1:21–30. doi: 10.1002/jac5.1001
 72. Omboni S, Mancinelli A, Rizzi F, Parati G; TEMPLAR (Telemonitoring of Blood Pressure in Local Pharmacies) Project Group. Telemonitoring of 24-hour blood pressure in local pharmacies and blood pressure control in the community: the templar project. *Am J Hypertens*. 2019;32:629–639. doi: 10.1093/ajh/hpz049
 73. McLean G, Band R, Saunderson K, Hanlon P, Murray E, Little P, McManus RJ, Yardley L, Mair FS; DIPSS Coinvestigators. Digital interventions to promote self-management in adults with hypertension systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Hypertens*. 2016;34:600–612. doi: 10.1097/HJH.0000000000000859
 74. Scott Kruse C, Karem P, Shifflett K, Vegi L, Ravi K, Brooks M. Evaluating barriers to adopting telemedicine worldwide: a systematic review. *J Telemed Telecare*. 2018;24:4–12. doi: 10.1177/1357633X16674087

75. Hui CY, Creamer E, Pinnock H, McKinstry B. Apps to support self-management for people with hypertension: content analysis. *J Med Internet Res*. 2019;21:e13257. doi: 10.2196/13257
76. Albini F, Xiaoqiu Liu, Torlasco C, Soranna D, Faini A, Ciminaghi R, Celsi A, Benedetti M, Zambon A, di Rienzo M, et al. An ICT and mobile health integrated approach to optimize patients' education on hypertension and its management by physicians: the patients optimal strategy of treatment (POST) pilot study. *Conf Proc IEEE Eng Med Biol Soc*. 2016;2016:517–520. doi: 10.1109/EMBC.2016.7590753
77. Parati G, Torlasco C, Omboni S, Pellegrini D. Smartphone applications for hypertension management: a potential game-changer that needs more control. *Curr Hypertens Rep*. 2017;19:48. doi: 10.1007/s11906-017-0743-0
78. Omboni S, Campolo L, Panzeri E. Telehealth in chronic disease management and the role of the internet-of-medical-things: the Tholomeus@ experience. *Expert Rev Med Devices*. 2020;17:659–670. doi: 10.1080/17434440.2020.1782734
79. European Parliament and Council. Regulation (EU) 2017/745 on Medical Devices. 2017. <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/eli/reg/2017/745/2017-05-05>. Accessed June 29, 2020.
80. Food and Drug Administration (FDA). Policy for Device Software Functions and Mobile Medical Applications. Guidance for Industry and Food and Drug Administration Staff. 2019. <https://www.fda.gov/regulatory-information/search-fda-guidance-documents/policy-device-software-functions-and-mobile-medical-applications>. Accessed June 29, 2020.
81. American Telemedicine Association. Accreditation - ATA. 2017. <http://www.americantelemed.org>. Accessed June 29, 2020.
82. Utilization Review Accreditation Commission (URAC). Telehealth Accreditation. 2020. <https://www.urac.org/programs/telehealth-accreditation>. Accessed June 29, 2020.
83. Federation of State Medical Boards. Telemedicine Policies: Board by Board Overview. 2019. http://www.fsmb.org/siteassets/advocacy/key-issues/telemedicine_policies_by_state.pdf. Accessed June 29, 2020.
84. European Parliament and Council. General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) Compliance Guidelines. <https://gdpr.eu/>. Accessed June 29, 2020.
85. Sharts-Hopko NC. Health information privacy reconsidered. *Nurse Lead*. 2014;12:58–61. doi: 10.1016/j.mnl.2013.10.004
86. Watson AR, Wah R, Thamman R. The value of remote monitoring for the COVID-19 pandemic. *Telemed J E Health*. 2020. doi: 10.1089/tmj.2020.0134
87. Greenhalgh T, Wherton J, Shaw S, Morrison C. Video consultations for COVID-19. *BMJ*. 2020;368:m998. doi: 10.1136/bmj.m998
88. Rushbrook J, Shennan A. Self-monitoring of blood pressure in pregnancy. *Prof Care Mother Child*. 1997;7:88–90.
89. Hammersley V, Parker R, Paterson M, Hanley J, Pinnock H, Padfield P, Stoddart A, Park HG, Sheikh A, McKinstry B. Telemonitoring at scale for hypertension in primary care: an implementation study. *PLoS Med*. 2020;17:e1003124. doi: 10.1371/journal.pmed.1003124
90. Omboni S. Telemedicine during the COVID-19 in Italy: a missed opportunity? *Telemed e-Health*. 2020;26:973–975. doi: 10.1089/tmj.2020.0106
91. Wicklund E. CMS Clarifies New Telehealth Services and Coverage for FQHCs, RHCs. https://mhealthintelligence.com/news/cms-clarifies-new-telehealth-services-and-coverage-for-fqhc-rhcs?__cf_chl_captcha_tk__=2d0c270e45acc209173514073f3e5e20f9a1b492-1592812454-0-AYjN-Hht1C0GBQy6hXXNTIB4-6Ae_zcUo4DBfR7yd9fnT7i6obPRPnGvM-KW0XIMQLQ5PhY3Nzi_QD. Accessed June 29, 2020.
92. Hollander JE, Carr BG. Virtually perfect? Telemedicine for COVID-19. *N Engl J Med*. 2020;382:1679–1681. doi: 10.1056/NEJMp2003539
93. Krausz M, Westenberg JN, Vigo D, Spence RT, Ramsey D. Emergency response to COVID-19 in Canada: platform development and implementation for eHealth in crisis management. *JMIR Public Health Surveill*. 2020;6:e18995. doi: 10.2196/18995
94. Kario K, Nishizawa M, Hoshida S, Shimpo M, Ishibashi Y, Kunii O, Shibuya K. Development of a disaster cardiovascular prevention network. *Lancet*. 2011;378:1125–1127. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(11)61187-2
95. Kario K. Disaster hypertension - its characteristics, mechanism, and management -. *Circ J*. 2012;76:553–562. doi: 10.1253/circj.cj-11-1510
96. Nishizawa M, Hoshida S, Shimpo M, Kario K. Disaster hypertension: experience from the great East Japan earthquake of 2011. *Curr Hypertens Rep*. 2012;14:375–381. doi: 10.1007/s11906-012-0298-z
97. Nishizawa M, Hoshida S, Okawara Y, Matsuo T, Kario K. Strict blood pressure control achieved using an ICT-based home blood pressure monitoring system in a catastrophically damaged area after a disaster. *J Clin Hypertens (Greenwich)*. 2017;19:26–29. doi: 10.1111/jch.12864
98. Task Force of the Latin American Society of Hypertension. Guidelines on the management of arterial hypertension and related comorbidities in Latin America. *J Hypertens*. 2017;35:1529–1545. doi: 10.1097/HJH.0000000000001418
99. Williams B, Mancia G, Spiering W, Agabiti Rosei E, Azizi M, Burnier M, Clement D, Coca A, De Simone G, Dominiczak A, et al; List of Authors/Task Force Members. 2018 Practice Guidelines for the management of arterial hypertension of the European Society of Hypertension and the European Society of Cardiology: ESH/ESC Task Force for the Management of Arterial Hypertension. *J Hypertens*. 2018;36:2284–2309. doi: 10.1097/HJH.0000000000001961
100. Liu LS, Wu ZS, Wang JG, Wang W, Bao YJ, Cai J, Chen LY, Chen WW, Chu SL, Feng YQ, et al. 2018 Chinese guidelines for prevention and treatment of hypertension — a report of the revision committee of Chinese guidelines for prevention and treatment of hypertension. *J Geriatr Cardiol*. 2019;16:182–245. doi: 10.11909/j.issn.1671-5411.2019.03.014
101. Umehura S, Arima H, Arima S, Asayama K, Dohi Y, Hirooka Y, Horio T, Hoshida S, Ikeda S, Ishimitsu T, et al. The Japanese Society of Hypertension guidelines for the management of hypertension (JSH 2019). *Hypertens Res*. 2019;42:1235–1481. doi: 10.1038/s41440-019-0284-9
102. Jones NR, McCormack T, Constanti M, McManus RJ. Diagnosis and management of hypertension in adults: NICE guideline update 2019. *Br J Gen Pract*. 2020;70:90–91. doi: 10.3399/bjgp20X708053
103. Rabi DM, McBrien KA, Sapir-Pichhadze R, Nakhla M, Ahmed SB, Dumanski SM, Butalia S, Leung AA, Harris KC, Cloutier L, et al. Hypertension Canada's 2020 comprehensive guidelines for the prevention, diagnosis, risk assessment, and treatment of hypertension in adults and children. *Can J Cardiol*. 2020;36:596–624. doi: 10.1016/j.cjca.2020.02.086
104. Unger T, Borghi C, Charchar F, Khan NA, Poulter NR, Prabhakaran D, Ramirez A, Schlaich M, Stergiou GS, Tomaszewski M, et al. 2020 International Society of Hypertension Global Hypertension Practice Guidelines. *J Hypertens*. 2020;38:982–1004. doi: 10.1097/HJH.0000000000002453