




submission

-  My Files
-  My Files
-  University

Document Details

Submission ID**trn:oid:::31188:87170620****Submission Date****Mar 22, 2025, 4:57 AM GMT+5:30****Download Date****Mar 22, 2025, 4:57 AM GMT+5:30****File Name****NUR 4000L Formal Summary (1).docx****File Size****20.4 KB****5 Pages****604 Words****3,643 Characters**





8% Overall Similarity

The combined total of all matches, including overlapping sources, for each database.




Filtered from the Report

- Bibliography
- Quoted Text

Match Groups

-  **2** Not Cited or Quoted 2%
Matches with neither in-text citation nor quotation marks
-  **3** Missing Quotations 6%
Matches that are still very similar to source material
-  **0** Missing Citation 0%
Matches that have quotation marks, but no in-text citation
-  **0** Cited and Quoted 0%
Matches with in-text citation present, but no quotation marks

Top Sources

- 4%  Internet sources
- 0%  Publications
- 7%  Submitted works (Student Papers)

Integrity Flags

0 Integrity Flags for Review

No suspicious text manipulations found.

Our system's algorithms look deeply at a document for any inconsistencies that would set it apart from a normal submission. If we notice something strange, we flag it for you to review.

A Flag is not necessarily an indicator of a problem. However, we'd recommend you focus your attention there for further review.

Match Groups

- 2** Not Cited or Quoted 2%
 Matches with neither in-text citation nor quotation marks
- 3** Missing Quotations 6%
 Matches that are still very similar to source material
- 0** Missing Citation 0%
 Matches that have quotation marks, but no in-text citation
- 0** Cited and Quoted 0%
 Matches with in-text citation present, but no quotation marks

Top Sources

- 4% Internet sources
- 0% Publications
- 7% Submitted works (Student Papers)

Top Sources

The sources with the highest number of matches within the submission. Overlapping sources will not be displayed.

1	Submitted works		
	Arizona College on 2025-02-20		3%
2	Internet		
	www.coursehero.com		3%
3	Internet		
	pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov		1%
4	Submitted works		
	Denver College of Nursing on 2025-03-17		<1%

The Impact of Medication Adherence amid Individuals with Chronic Illness and Medically

2

Underserved Adults

Student's Name

Institutional Affiliation

Course Number and Name

Instructor's Name

Due Date

The Impact of Medication Adherence amid Individuals with Chronic Illness and Medically Underserved Adults

1 According to the randomized controlled trial (RCT) conducted by Hartch et al. (2024), the researchers aimed to examine how medical adherence, knowledge, self-efficacy and medication specific support using mobile app associated with tracking, reminders and medication information among individual with various chronic conditions over a prolonged period.

Strengths and Limitations

One of the strengths of the study is an increased tendency in medication adherence after introducing the adherence application. The article employed a rigorous design (RCT) supporting casual inferences and reduced bias by controlling groups and randomizing. Additionally, 43% of participants showed an increase in medication adherence (Hartch et al., 2024). On the other hand, there was a small sample to determine the particular role of self-efficacy and study experiment observed participants for a duration of one month only. As well, the study inclusion criteria focused on underserved communities with chronic conditions leading to generalizability to particular illnesses. There was a significant bias in social desirability that can lead to overestimation of medication compliance.

Reliability and Validity

1 The study results are reliable as researchers used validated medication adherence tool and use of coding qualitative data to examine self-report measures. The researchers solely used nominal and ordinal data for frequency distributions. The summaries utilized the median (IQR) because of the skewed data distribution. Linear regression models were used to test and determine the outcome of the medication adherence app (Hartch et al., 2024). Based on the

3 results, there was a significant statistical change of ± 5.98 stemmed from difference in baseline values in recorded outcomes. According to Hartch et al. (2024), there was a difference in statistical significance of ($p=.003$) with 50% of the patients demonstrated improved adherence standards compared to 9.7% ($n=3$) in the control group. However, the study focused on populations that are usually medically underserved. The study is an RCT that provide level 1 evidence strongly inform strong causal references that clarify differences among the groups in improvement of medication adherence. The measurement of cause-effect was determined by use of RCT design and dual response relation to improve adherence. Internal validity maybe threatened by high attrition level and measurement bias through use of self-reported by estimating adherence. External validity was threatened by characteristics of study population since it only focused on medically underserved population. The app design, contextual factors, and access technology among participants may be external factors that threaten outcomes.

Ethics

The study was registered with clinicaltrials.gov and the Vanderbilt University Institutional Review Board, (NCT05098743), approved the study according to its ethical obligations.

Conclusion

The topic outlines the need to establish whether an adherence app can be utilized to increase medication adherence among patients with chronic illness. The study findings implication to be disseminated in future studies to improve adherence through use of medical apps and portals and measurement of outcomes. However, it is important to note that the study lasted for a month hence the need to carry out another study that will take longer and have more

participants (Hartch et al., 2024). Nurses and assistive persons should integrate medication apps to improve workflow and partner with medical institutions or local departments in implementing and maintenance of these apps.

References

Hartch, C. E., Dietrich, M. S., Lancaster, B. J., Stollendorf, D. P., & Mulvaney, S. A. (2024).

Effects of a medication adherence app among medically underserved adults with chronic illness: a randomized controlled trial. *Journal of Behavioral Medicine*, 47(3), 389-404.

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10865-023-00446-2>